

me inter by the see

AD



AMMRC TR 81-19

DETERMINATION OF THE TRANSVERSE PROPERTIES OF TEXTURED ESR 4340 STEEL

MAY 1981

John T. Berry School of Mechanical Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Final Report

Contract Number DAAG46-80-C-0043

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

FILE COPY

Prepared for

ARMY MATERIALS AND MECHANICS RESEARCH CENTER Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

B. B. S.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents

Mention of any trade names or manufacturers in this report shall not be construed as advertising nor as an official indorsement or approval of such products or companies by the United States Government

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.

Do not return it to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FOR
	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AMMRC\TR-81-19	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COV
_DETERMINATION OF THE TRANSVERSE PROPERTIES OF	Final Report
TEXTURED ESR 4340 STEEL	13 May 80 🗩 24 Feb 81
TURE UNION TO TO TO STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	6 RERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUME
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
	The second secon
John T./Berry	DAAG46-80-C-0043 Fee
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Georgia Institute of Technology	
School of Mechanical Engineering (19	DAT Project: 1L62105AH84
Atlanta, Georgia 30332	AMCMS Code: 612105.H8400
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 REPORT DATE
Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center	// May 1981
ATTN: DRXMR-AP	13 NUMBER OF PAGES
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172	30 (2) 45
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	line less i fied
	Unclassified
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADS
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	(17)00
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from	ed. (17)00
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed. (17)00
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed. (17)00
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed. (17)00
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20. If different from	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20. If different from	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20. If different from	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebatract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy	ed. (17)00
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Bluck 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile property	ed. (17)00
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Bluck 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Bluck 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties	ed. 1700
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	ed. (7) (8)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of the current investigation wa	ed.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebatract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of the current investigation wa verse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340	ed. Report) sies s to determine the trans which had been processes
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of the current investigation was verse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340 to produce an intense (112) + (111) type texture.	ed. Report) sies s to determine the trans which had been processe. The material was pro-
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of the current investigation was verse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340 to produce an intense (112) + (111) type texture. cessed from 10 inch (250 mm) x 5.0 inch (125 mm) x	ed. Report) s to determine the transwhich had been processed. The material was pro- 5.0 inch (125 mm) thick
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of the current investigation was verse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340 to produce an intense (112) + (111) type texture. cessed from 10 inch (250 mm) x 5.0 inch (125 mm) x slabs to approximately 0.5 inch (12.5 mm) thick pl	ed. Report) sto determine the transwhich had been processed. The material was proson inch (125 mm) thick ate in a two high rolling.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 4340 Steel Anisotropy Electroslag remelting (ESR) Tensile propert Texture Ductility Mechanical properties 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of the current investigation was verse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340 to produce an intense (112) + (111) type texture. cessed from 10 inch (250 mm) x 5.0 inch (125 mm) x	ed. Report) sto determine the transwhich had been processed. The material was pro- 5.0 inch (125 mm) thick ate in a two high rolling double ligament test:

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

C. Benitality


UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Block No. 20

The results of the tests indicate that some anisotropy does exist in the plane of the plate. The associated levels of longitudinal yield stress and ultimate stress are considerably higher than those of a non-textured material of corresponding longitudinal ductility and hardness level (~ HRC 57). The long transverse and short transverse ductilities are, however, lower than those of the non-textured material. In the case of the short transverse properties, both inferior strength and ductility were observed.

The associated scanning electron microscopy showed the presence of minute flattened strip-like MnS inclusions on the macroscopically flat or terrace type fracture surfaces associated with the low ductility short transverse specimens. All of the specimens concerned with this orientation broke at stresses below the level of general yielding.



GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY School of Mechanical Engineering

FINAL REPORT

TO:

Director

Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-AP, Contracting Administration

Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Technical Supervisor: Mr. Albert Anctil

FROM:

Professor John T. Berry

School of Mechanical Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology

Atlanta, Georgia 30332

SUBJECT:

Final Report

Contract No. DAAG46-80-C-0043 Research Project No. E-25-634

Determination of the Transverse Properties of

Textured ESR 4340 Steel

Covering period from May 13, 1980 to February 24, 1981

DATED:

August 12, 1981

John T. Berry

Principal Investigator

S. Peter Kezios, Director

School of Mechanical Engineering

W. M. Sangeter, Dean

College of Engineering

Mulian W. Dees

Contract Administration

PREFACE

The present writer (J. T. Berry) and his students would like to acknowledge the support received from AMMRC during the conduct of the present project. The counsel, cooperativeness and infinite patience of the present monitor, Mr. A. A. Anctil, are worthy of special note. The author must also acknowledge the provision of facilities at Georgia Tech by Dr. S. P. Kezios, Director of the School of Mechanical Engineering.

The entire bulk of the mechanical testing associated with the present project was undertaken by Mr. Issam Fadel, masters student in the School of Mechanical Engineering. Without Mr. Fadel's diligent work and careful scrutiny this work could not have been performed. Together with Mr. Y. Shimazaki, Mr. Fadel undertook the preliminary SEM study. The final SEM study was performed by Mr. J. Hubbard of the Georgia Tech Engineering Experimental Station, for whom we have special commendation. Special thanks should also be conveyed to Mr. Ed Oppenheimer for his counsel on testing aspects, to Messrs. Harry Vaughan and Virgil McCollum for their part in the exacting task of producing the DLT specimens from pre-hardened plate and finally to Mrs. Rosie Atkins for her incredible care in generating clear accurate manuscripts with the very minimal guidance from the author.

Ť

ABSTRACT

The objective of the current investigation was to determine the transverse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340 which had been processed to produce an intense (112) + (111) type texture. The material was processed from 10 inch (250 mm) x 5.0 inch (125 mm) x 5.0 inch (125 mm) thick slabs to approximately 0.5 inch (12.5 mm) thick plate in a two high rolling mill to produce the above texture. Utilizing the double ligament test; long transverse, short transverse, longitudinal and diagonal mechanical properties were determined.

The results of the tests indicate that some anisotropy does exist in the plane of the plate. The associated levels of longitudinal yield stress and ultimate stress are considerably higher than those of a non-textured material of corresponding longitudinal ductility and hardness level (~ $\rm H_{RC}$ 57). The long transverse and short transverse ductilites are, however, lower than those of the non-textured material. In the case of the short transverse properties, both inferior strength and ductility were observed.

The associated scanning electron microscopy showed the presence of minute flattened strip-like MnS inclusions on the macroscopically flat or terrace type fracture surfaces associated with the low ductility short transverse specimens. All of the specimens concerned with this orientation broke at stresses below the level of general yielding.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present investigation was concerned with the determination of the transverse properties of electroslag remelted AISI 4340* which had been processed to produce a crystallographic perferred orientation/texture or fibering.

The processing of the two plates received from AMMRC involved the rolling of two ESR 4340 slabs approximately 10.0 inch (250 mm) x 5.0 inch (125 mm) wide x 5.0 inch (125 mm) thick, using a thermomechanical process which results in an intense (112) + (111) type texture [1]. The processing was accomplished on a two-high rolling mill using a ninety percent reduction. The treatment involved was undertaken at the United States Steel Corporation. The hardness of the material (as received) was - H_{RC} 57. Prior to delivery to Georgia Tech the plates (~ 0.5 inch or 12.5 mm thick) were surface ground at AMMRC to approximately 15/64 inch (~ 6 mm) thick such that the central section of the plate would be tested using the DLT bars (0.2 inch, - 5 mm in thickness). The DLT specimen blanks were cut from the plate so that longitudinal, transverse, and both diagonal blank orientations were obtained. Slots were then cut so that properties in the longitudinal, transverse, diagonal or short transverse directions could be determined. In this way the following type DLT bar designations arise:

TX

TZ

LY

LZ

45X

452

315X

315Z

^{*} Chemical analyses are given in Tables I and II.

In the first four of the designations, the first letter indicates the bar orientation (T = transverse, L = longitudinal) whilst the letter indicates the direction in which the ligaments are cut and ultimately tested (X, Y or Z). The last four orientations represent bars which are oriented in what might be termed a diagonal (45°) manner, the 45 and 315 designated bars are mutually orthogonal. The slots were then cut and the bars subsequently tested so that 45% and 315% type bars provided information on the in-plane diagonal properties, whilst the 45% and 315% provided information on short transverse properties as are obtained from diagonally oriented specimens (see Figure 1).

The techniques used for specimen fabrication were essentially those described in an earlier work [2] excepting that the rough machining phase involved slitting and facing with abrasive wheel type tooling in view of the hardness of the as-received plate.

The DL testing technique has also been described elsewhere [3,4]. The tests were conducted upon a calibrated 10,000 lb Instron testing machine using a crosshead speed of 0.02 in/min ($^{\sim}$ 0.5 mm/min).

II. RESULTS

THE PERSON OF TH

ŧ

The results of the present tests are summarized in Tables III and IV with respect to individual orientations. Both averages (four specimens per individual orientation) and ranges are shown.

A more compact alternative summary of properties is exhibited in Tables V and VI, wherein further averaging of groups, for example averages of both diagonal orientations combined, are presented (see also Figures 2 and 3).

The raw data from which these tables (III to VI) are constructed is shown in the appendix in Tables A-I and A-II. The bar locations are also detailed in the appendix (Figures Al and 2).

The results of scanning electron microscopy are included in the accompanying discussion.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

1

It is interesting to compare the data collected in Tables III through VI with those reported upon previously [1] for a non-textured plate (S-I) of ESR 4340 of equivalent hardness level.

It will be seen that the levels of longitudinal yield and ultimate stresses are considerably higher than those of the non-textured material, which as well as being of equivalent hardness, is of roughly equivalent longitudinal ductility.

A further significant difference exists between the short transverse properties exhibited by the non-textured plate of ESR 4340 S-I previously investigated and those exhibited by the textured plates C and S, inspite of the fact that all three plates received roughly similar total amounts of reduction during processing to plate.

Two interesting differences do surface however on comparing chemical analyses and actual rolling/forging schedules. In the first instance sulphur levels for one of the textured plates is somewhat higher than that of the non-textured material (although none of the sulphur levels can be considered high in terms of ESR practice [5,6]). In the second instance there is a profound difference in the way in which the final reduction to size was brought about. The textured plates experienced a severe final reduction of the order of 90%. Such a continuous reduction would promote pronounced flattening of plastic inclusions (MnS) and would not permit any readjustment of inclusion shape which could conceivably take place where there was a forging/ rolling schedule with numerous interstage reheatings. (Although it should be mentioned that such adjustment of shape would be limited unless heating extended into the homogenization range. Nonetheless the effect should not be overlooked, since the plate S-I did obviously receive intermediate reheats in pressing and cross-rolling [2]). It should also be noted that the cross-rolling experienced by the plate of non-textured material would also promote a differently shaped inclusion to that expected with material reduced without rotation in the X-Y plane.

Some interesting pointers do emerge when the SEM studies of each

set of fractures are examined. The somewhat flat short-transverse direction fracture appearance in plate C of the textured material frequently exhibited the presence of terraces bearing strip-like streaks (Figure 4a). The streaks could be differentiated from the dimpled but highly oriented prior austenite grain envelopes by their smooth but sometimes perforated appearance (Figures 4b and c). Plate S showed fewer examples of flattened inclusions than did plate C. It will be recalled that although the short-transverse direction fractures of the non-textured plate S-I showed flat spots, sometimes quite extensive, closer examination of those areas revealed a larger local volume fraction of globular rather than the plate shaped inclusions (Figures 5a and b).

In view of the significant part thought to be played by the terraces and flattened streaks—seen in the textured material, further electron microscopy was undertaken using more versatile instrument (Cambridge Steroscan as opposed to bench type ISI) which also had available an analysis system (Envirotech attachment) which could examine and characterize X-radiation excited by the electron-beam (Figures 6 and 7).

Reference to the scanning electron micrography in the region of the perforations suggested that the strip-like streaks, if they were in fact inclusions, were extremely thin. The x-ray analysis of the steaks themselves, the underlying regions (areas of perforation) and the regular machined surface (plate C) revealed the presence of manganese and sulphur within the streaks themselves, suggesting very strongly that the streaks were in fact highly elongated very thin manganese sulphide type inclusions. (The outputs of the respective analyses are shown in Figures 8 to 10.)

The thin nature of the subject inclusions in the Z or short-transverse direction can also be appreciated from the color ordinary light photomicrography of Figure 11 which would seem to indicate a typical thickness of $\sim 1\mu$. Reference to the literature [7] suggests that ribbon like MnS inclusions of this morphology may be of the harmful so-called type II variety promoted by low oxygen contents.

Consequently, it is concluded that the presence of these film like MnS lamellae contributes significantly to the low elongations witnessed in the through thickness DLT tests. At this point, however, the role played by the remaining features of the highly oriented microstructure cannot be elucidated. Possibly TEM work upon this aspect would prove valuable if further development is desired. Furthermore, additional SEM study of the sulphides prior to and after the texturing treatment would be valuable to determine their exact type and origin. Similarly study of rare-earth treated—or alternatively ultra low sulphur—feed stock heats used in conjunction with the ESR and texture inducing process would also be valuable, especially in view of the extremely encouraging strength, ductility combinations seen in the X and Y direction property evaluations of the textured material.

Turning to the in-plane aspects of the mechanical properties determined for the plates C and S, it will be seen that the averaged ultimate stresses showed the diagonal (45X + 315X) direction values to lie intermediate between the longitudinal and transverse values (Figures 2 and 3). This was also true for the yield stress values to plate C. In the case of plate S averaged values were all within 4 Ksi, that is within 1-1/2 percent of each other.

The significant contrast is undoubtedly that seen on comparing the in-plane elongation values, where the transverse (LY) values show a fairly marked inferiority to those of the longitudinal and diagonal orientations. It will also be seen again that plate C shows inferior ductility values overall to those of plate S within the plane of the plate.

It is concluded that:

THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF T

- 1. The texturing treatment applied to the subject material results in yield and ultimate stress values in the longitudinal direction of the plate, substantially greater than those of non-textured material of similar hardness level ($\rm H_{RC}$ ~ 57) examined previously.
- 2. The ductility of the textured material in the longitudinal direction is of a similar level to that of the non-textured material, in spite of its superior strength levels.

- 3. The averaged yield and ultimate stresses of the in-plane samples indicate some degree of anisotropy for plate C at least, where the averaged UTS values for the diagonal orientations (45X + 315X) are intermediate with respect to those of the longitudinal and transverse direction values.
- 4. In-plane ductility values measured indicate that the transverse (LY) ductility is inferior to those of the longitudinal and diagonal orientations.
- 5. The significant findings of the present investigation, however, hinge upon the poor ductility and inferior tensile strength witnessed in the short-transverse direction. This is apparent not only on comparison with mechanical properties observed within the plane of the plate but on comparison with the short transverse properties of the non-textured material investigated previously.
- 6. The macrosopically flat or facetted fractures associated with this behavior, show extensive indications of terracing. Upon undertaking higher-power scanning electron microscopy of the terraced areas of the fracture, strip- or ribbon-like streaks become apparent. These features appear to be minute flattened MnS inclusions, which may be responsible, at least in part, for the low ductility concerned in the short transverse orientations.

TABLE I Chemical Composition Plate C ESR AISI 4340 Steel ($H_{RC} \approx 57$)

Chemical	Composition:				
С	Mn	Si	Ni	Cr	Мо
0.43	0.72	0.35	1.83	0.90	0.31
P	S	N	o *	н*	
0.012	0.007	0.012	26	0.3	

* ppm

Chemical	Composition:				
С	Mn	Si	Ni	Cr	Мо
0.40	0.77	0.22	1.78	0.80	0.24
P	S	N	0*	н*	
0.008	0.003	0.01	16	0.6	

* ppm

TABLE III

Mechanical Properties Determined by DL Test from Plate C of Textured ESR AISI 4340 Steel (H $_{
m RC}$ $^{\circ}$ 57) with Respect to Orientation (Averages and Ranges)

PLATE I.D.				υ			
	NOITA) AIEID S	YIELD STRENGTH Organia (Ks1)	ULTIMATE STRENGTH OUTS (Ksi)	MATE STRENGTH $\sigma_{\rm UTS}$ (Ksi)	ELONGATION	84
SPECIMEN #	OKIENTA	RANGE MAXMIN.	AVERAGE O ys	RANGE MAXMIN.	AVERAGE Outs	RANGE MAXMIN	AVERAGE %
1; 3; 6; 8	ŽĮ.	268 + 239	258	366 + 334	350	12.5 + 8.88	10.7
21; 25; 31; 19	45X	302 ÷ 280	291	391 + 345	370	10.9 + 8.5	10.0
24; 30; 18; 28	315X	307 + 251	278	393 + 366	380	10.54 + 7.70	9.52
9; 13; 15; 11	LY	325 + 304	314.5	391 + 362	382	8.85 + 5.75	7.68
4; 5; 7; 2	ZI	+	+	178 + 108	146	1.0 + 0.023	9.0
17; 23; 29; 27	757	+	+-	162 + 147	152	1.45 + 0.41	0.74
22; 32; 20; 26	3152	+	+	202 + 147	170	1.3 + 0.49	0.74
10; 16; 12; 14	LZ	+	+	218 + 148	178	1.3 + 0.50	0.86

+ Appeared to break within elastic range, that is below stress for general yielding,

TABLE IV

Mechanical Properties Determined by DL Test from Plate S of Textured ESR AISI 4340 Steel (H $_{\rm RC}$ $^{\circ}$ 56) With Respect to Orientation (Averages and Ranges)

01 ATR 1 D				S			
	NOIJ	YIELD S	YIELD STRENGTH of (Ks1)	ULTIMATE STRENGTH OUTS (Ksi)	MATE STRENGTH OUTS (Ksi)	ELONGATION %	%
SPECIMEN #.	OKIENTV.	RANGE MAXMIN.	AVERAGE ^G ys	RANGE MAXMIN.	AVERAGE ^O UTS	RANGE MAXMIN.	AVERAGE %
33; 38; 40; 35	χī	307 + 267	287	384 + 363	375	12.9 + 10.5	11.95
53; 63; 51; 57	45X	289 + 269	772	378 + 366	372	12.4 + 11.74	12.16
56; 62; 50; 60	315X	308 + 265	289	376 + 358	367	12.96 + 11.17	12.09
41; 45; 47; 43	LY	298 + 284	289	388 + 322	357	10.77 + 5.93	8.68
34; 36°; 37; 39	ZI	+	+	281 + 231	264	2.63 + 1.41	2.21
49; 55; 59; 61	757	+	+	230 + 181	210	1.80 + 1.04	1.34
54; 64; 58; 52	3152	+	+	385 + 184	245	$2.10 \div 0.81$	1.28
42; 48; 44; 46	27	+	+	257 + 223	244	2.41 + 1.33	1.89
Onvalid Test.	it.	†See footnote, Table III	Table III.				

TABLE V

1

Summary of the Mechanical Property Averages and Ranges Determined by DL Test for Specimens from Plate C of the Textured ESR AISI 4340 Steel With Respect to Orientations

PLATE I.D.			ပ			
	ULTIMATE STRENGTH OUTS (Ksi)	MATE STRENGTH OUTS (Ksi)	YIELD STRENGTH O S O S S S O S S S S S S	ENGTH si)	ELONGATION %	% N
	KANGE	AVERAGE	RANGE	AVERAGE	RANGE	AVERAGE
ORIENTATION	MAXMIN.	ours	MAXMIN.	ø	MAXMIN.	
TX	366 + 334	350	268 + 239	258	12.5 + 8.88	10.7
45X; 315X	393 + 345	375	307 + 251	284	10.9 + 7.70	9.76
LY	391 + 362	382	325 + 304	314.5	8.85 + 5.75	7.68
TZ	178 + 108	146	-	1	$1.0 \div 0.23$	9.0
452; 3152	202 + 147	161	1		$1.45 \div 0.41$	0.74
ZT	218 + 148	178			1.3 + 0.50	0.86
		!				

TABLE VI

ţ

Summary of the Mechanical Property Averages and Ranges Determined by DL Test for Specimens from Plate S of the Textured ESR AISI 4340 Steel With Respect to Orientations

PLATE 1.D.			S			
	ULTIMATE STRE	ULTIMATE STRENGTH OUTS (Ksi)	YIELD STRENGTH	RENGTH Ksi)	ELONGATION %	5 %
	RANGE	AVERAGE	RANGE	AVERAGE	RANGE	AVERAGE
ORIENTATION	MAXMIN.	ours	MAXMIN.	dys	MAXMIN.	
Ä	384 + 363	375	307 + 267	287	12.9 + 10.5	11.95
45X; 315X	378 + 358	370	308 + 265	283	12.96 + 11.17	12.12
ĽX	388 + 322	357	298 + 284	289	10.77 + 5.93	8.68
TZ	281 + 231	284		!	$2.63 \div 1.41$	2.21
452; 3152	385 + 181	227		!	$2.10 \div 0.81$	1.31
1.2	257 + 223	744	!		$2.41 \div 1.33$	1.89






Figure 1(a). Showing double ligament test (DLT) specimen.




(b) Showing nomenclature for various orientations of DLT bar specimens.



)

Figure 2. In-plane variation of the mechanical properties of textured ESR AISI 4340 steel plates C and S (H $_{\rm RC}$ $^{\sim}$ 57). (Averaged values--dots indicate ranges)








Figure 3. Short transverse mechanical properties of textured ESR AISI 4340 steel plates C and S ($\rm H_{RC}$ \sim 57). (Averaged values--dots indicate ranges)



Figure 4(a). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) shot of macroscopically flat area of fractured ligament of 45Z specimen #23 (plate C) showing terracing effect.




(b) & (c). Successively higher magnifications of terraced areas of fracture examined above (#23, plate C). Ribbon-like areas appear as inclusions elongated in rolling. Note perforations.




x 60

Figure 5(a). Showing low-magnification SEM view of flat areas on TZ bar ligament in non-textured material (specimen #10, plate S-I).




x 1500

(b). Higher magnification view of flat area of specimen #10 plate S-I above. Note: large inclusion population related to equiaxed dimples.




x 140

Figure 6(a). View of terraced area of ligament of 45Z specimen #29 (plate C). Beam of SEM is inclined at 45° to fracture plane.



x 700


(b). Higher magnification view of (a) above showing ribbon-like areas and neighboring dimpled zone.



of the special section of the sectio


x 700

Figure 7(a). Alternate view of ribbon-like area on sample #29, perforations are visible.




x 3000

(b). High magnification view of (a) above, perforations fully in evidence.



Ş.

Energy dispersive X-ray analysis output for suspected inclusion area shown in Figure 7(b). Units of abscissa are KeV. Note presence of sulfur peak. Figure 8.



ŧ

ţ

Energy dispersive X-ray analysis output for area associated with paration in inclusion shown in Figure 7(b). Note absence of sulfur peak, Figure 9.




Figure 10. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis output for machined surface of DLT specimen #29.



x 992

Figure 11. View of MnS inclusion as seen in ZX plane of typical DLT bar sample. Note also highly directional nature of prior austenite grain structure.

APPEND IX

In the accompanying tables and sketches are included raw data on mechanical properties as determined, range information, as well as bar location information.

Note: When ligaments did not break simultaneously, the data reported is that for the first ligament to break. This principally involves short transverse samples only, but is an important point of procedure, since these values were then entered into the subsequent computations of ranges and averages. The tables attached to previous progress reports on this project generally reported average values of UTS, etc. where separate ligament fracture occurred. Tables included in the final report on the non-textured material, (reference two) dealt with such occurrences on the bases used in the attached tables, that is reporting data on the ligament breaking first only. Data on the second ligament breaking is available if required for further computation for both investigations.

Table A-I. Mechanical Properties of Textured ESR 4340, Plate S (DL Test Results) $^{\phi}$ (Raw Data)

THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

ongation		10.49	12.90	12.77	11.67	12.25	11.74	12.25	12.40	12.44	11.79	11.17	12.96	10.77	8.28	9.72	5.93
Ligament Percent Elongation Left Right igament Ligament Aver		10.49	12.90	12.77	11.67	12.25	11.74	12.25	12.40	12.44	11.79	11.17	12.96	10.77	8.28	9.72	5.93
Ligament Left Ligament		10.49	12.90	12.77	11.67	12.25	11.74	12.25	12.40	12.44	11.79	11.17	12.96	10.77	8.28	9.72	5.93
Ligaments Break Together?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yield Strength	ys	307	267	287	287	569	289	275	276	306	308	277	265	284	286	298	!
Stress at Fracture	$\sigma_{\mathbf{f}}$	360	380	381	371	360	374	375	360	371	369	361	352	385	341	375	322
Ultimate Tensile Strength	OUTS	363	383	384	371	366	377	378	366	376	374	361	358	388	344	375	322
Specimen Orientation		TX	:	<u>.</u>	z	45X	:	•		315X	=	t	Ε	LY	=	•	
Specimen Number		33	38	07	35	53	63	51	57	99	62	20	09	41	4.5	47	43

Table A-1. (continued)

longation	Average		2.60		5.55	2.63	3.59	6.67	6.80	1.07	3.58	3.93	0.83	7.22	5.24	5.57	1.33	7.25
Ligament Percent Elongation	Right Ligament		2.60		89.6	2.63	6.13	11.54	12.16	1.07	5.77	7.05	0.83	12.33	8.06	09.6	1.33	12.2
Ligament	Left Ligament		2.60		1.41	2.63	1.04	1.8	1.45	1.07	1.39	0.81	0.83	2.1	2.41	1.55	1.33	2.3
Ligaments	Break Together?		Yes		No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No
	Yield Strength	σys	*	Not Valid	*	*	**	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*-	*
Stress	at Fracture	d.	281	No	231	281	181	198	230	227	210	184	199	385	249	248	223	257
	Tensile Strength	ours	281		231	281	181	202	230	227	210	184	199	385	249	248	223	257
	Specimen Orientation		TZ	:	=	-	757	=	=	:	3152	ε	£	=	LZ	=	=	•
	Specimen Number		34	36	37	39	67	55	29	19	54	99	28	52	42	87	77	97

Table A-II. Mechanical Properties of Textured ESR 4340, Plate C (DL Test Results) $^{\phi}$ (Raw Data)

		Ultimate	Stress		Ligaments	Ligament	Ligament Percent Elongation	ngation
Specimen Number	Specimen Orientation	Tensile Strength	at Fracture	Yield Strength	Break Together?	Left Ligament	Right Ligament	Average
		$^{\sigma}$ uts	\mathbf{j}_{o}	σ ys				
1	TX	366	362	268	Yes	12.08	12.08	12.08
3	=	335	297	239	Yes	12.50	12.50	12.50
9	=	363	360	268	No	8.88	22.81	15.85
∞	•	334	334		Yes	9.34	9.34	9.34
21	45X	385	381	290	Yes	10.71	10.71	10.71
25	=	345	342	302	Yes	06.6	06.6	9.90
31	=	391	391	290	Yes	8.5	8.5	8.5
19	:	359	358	280	Yes	10.9	10.9	10.9
24	315X	393	393	307	Yes	9.43	9.43	6.43
30	:	384	377	294	Yes	10.41	10.41	10,41
18	ı.	376	373	259	Yes	10.54	10.54	10.54
28	:	366	366	251	No	7.70	23.0	15.35
6	ΓX	387	387	313	Yes	8.34	8.34	8.34
13	=	391	391	325	Yes	7.79	7.79	7,79
15	E	362	359	304	Yes	8.85	8.85	8.85
11	*	389	389	316	Yes	5.75	5.75	5.75

Table A-II. (continued)

Tens	Miltimate Stress		LKamence	DIEGENCHIC	rigament retent arong	
: =	ţzı	Yield Strength	Break Together?	Left Ligament	Right Ligament	Average
σ_{UTS}	of E	o ys				
150	150	*	Yes	0.42	0.42	0.42
178	176	*	No	0.75	5.67	3.21
148	146	*	No	1.0	5.79	3.40
108	108	*	Yes	0.23	0.23	0.23
147	147	*	No	0.41	5.74	3.08
162	162	*	No	1.45	5.68	3.57
149	149	*	No	0.57	4.59	2.58
148	148	*	Yes	0.53	0.53	0.53
147	147	*	No	0.49	4.18	2.34
162	162	*	No	0.58	5.25	2.92
168	168		Yes	09.0	09.0	0.62
202	202		No	1.3	7.58	77.7
148	148	***	No	0.50	5.25	2.87
190	190	*	No	0.83	6.18	3.51
155	155	1	Yes	0.80	08.0	0.80
218	910	****	Yes	1.3	1.3	1.3

. Appeared to break within the elastic range. $^{\varphi}\mathrm{Stresses}$ in Ksi.

Table A-III. Ranges of Mechanical Properties 05tained Using DLT Test on Textured Plates of 1.3R 4340 $\!\!\!\!\!\!\!^{\dagger}$

Orientation of Specimens	Plate	Ultimate Tensile Strength	Yield Strength	Percent Elongation
		^o uts	σys	
TX	S	363-384	267-307	10.49-12.9
TX	С	334-366	239-268	8.88-12.5
45X	S	366-378	269-289	11.74-12.40
45X	С	363-393	280-302	8.5-10.90
315X	S	358-376	265-308	11.17-12.96
315X	С	365-393	251-307	7.7-10.54
LY	S	322-388	284-298	5.93-10.77
LY	С	362-391	304-325	5.75-8.85
TZ	S	231-281	*	1.41-2.63
TZ	С	108-178	*	0.23-1.00
LZ	S	257-223	*	1.33-2.41
LZ	С	148-218	*	0.50-1.3
45Z	S	181-230	*	1.04-1.8
45Z	С	147-162	*	0.41-1.45
315Z	S	184-385	*	0.81-2.1
315Z	С	147-202	*	0.49-1.3

 $[\]star$ Appeared to break at stress below that of general yielding.

[†]Stresses in Ksi.






Figure A-1. Showing Specimen Location in 0.2 in Thick Material



- LONG I TUD INAL



Showing Specimen Location in 0.2 in Thick Material Figure A-2.

REFERENCES

A. Zarkades, AMMRC - Private Communication, January 20, 1981.

1

- 2. J. T. Berry, "Determination of the Transverse Properties of ESR 4340 Steel," Final Report Contract No. DAAG46-78-C-0045 to AMMRC, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 1980.
- E. D. Oppenheimer, R. G. Kumblé and J. T. Berry, "The Double Ligament Test: Its Development and Application," <u>Trans. ASME</u>, <u>Jnl. of Eng.</u> <u>Materials and Technology</u>, 1975 (97) 107.
- 4. R. G. Kumblé and J. T. Berry, "The Application of the Double Ligament Tensile Test in Deformation Processing," Trans. ASME, Jnl. of Engineering for Industry, 1976 (98) 1237.
- Anon., "Can ESR Steels Match VAR Quality," <u>Design Engineering</u>, May 1980, 57.
- 6. M. G. Hebsur, K. P. Abraham and Y.V.R.K. Pasad, "Effect of Electroslag Refining on the Fracture Toughness and Fatigue Crack Propagation Rates in Heat Treated AISI 4340 Steel," Eng. Fracture Mechanics, 1980 (13) 851.
- 7. F. B. Pickering (Editor). <u>Inclusions</u>, Monograph No. 3. Institution of Metallurgists, London 1979, Chapter 3 (Some Aspects of the Formation of Sulphide Inclusions in Alloy Steels, by W. J. M. Salter).

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies To 1 Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. The Pentagon, Washington, D. C. 20301 12 Commander, Defense Technical Information Center, Cameron Station, Building 5, 5010 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314 1 Metals and Ceramics Information Center, Battelle Columbus Laboratories, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43201 1 ATTN: J. H. Brown, Jr. Deputy Chief of Staff, Research, Development, and Acquisition, Headquarters, Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20310 1 ATTN: DAMA-ARZ Commander, Army Research Office, P. O. Box 12211, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709 1 ATTN: Information Processing Office Commander, U. S. Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333 1 ATTN: DRCLDC Commander, U. S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005 ATTN: DRXSY-MP, Director Commander, U. S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809 ATTN: Technical Library DRSMI-CS, R. B. Clem 1 Commander, U. S. Army Armament Research and Development Command, Dover, New Jersey 07801 ATTN: Technical Library 1 PRDAR-SCM, J. D. Corrie 1 Dr. J. Frasier Commander, U. S. Army Tank-Automotive Research and Development Command, Warren, Michigan 48090 1 ATTN: DRDTA - RKA DRDTA-UL, Technical Library 1 DRDTA-RCK, D. W. Mackeiwicz Commander, U. S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, 220 7th Street, N. E., Charlottesville, Virginia 22901 1 ATTN: Military Tech, Mr. Marley

Director, Eustis Directorate, U. S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604

1 ATTN: DAVDL-E-MOS

DAVDL-EU-TAP ì

```
No. of
Copies
                                        To
     U. S. Army Aviation Training Library, Fort Rucker, Alabama 36360
  1 AITN: Building 5906-5907
     Director, U. S. Army Ballistic Research Research Laboratory, Aberdeen
     Proving Ground, Maryland 21005
  1 ATTN: DRDAR-VL, W. S. Thompson
    U. S. Army Aviation Research and Development Command, P.O. Box 209,
    St. Louis, Missouri 63166
    ATTN: DRDAV-ASE
           DRDAV-ASH
 1
 1
           DRDAV-EI
           DRDAV-EXH
 1
    Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C.
           Dr. J. M. Krafft - Code 5830
           Code 2627
    Chief of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia 22217
 1 ATTN: Code 471
    Director, Structural Mechanics Research, Office of Naval Research,
    800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22203
 1 ATTN: Dr. N. Perrone
    Commander, U. S. Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories, Wright-Patterson
    Air Force Base, Ohio 45433
    ATTN: AFWAL/MLSE, E. Morrissey
           AFWAL/MLC
 1
           AFWAL/MLLP, D. M. Forney, Jr.
           AFWAL/MLBC, Mr. Stanley Schulman
 1
           AFWAL/MLXE, A. Olevitch
    National Aeronauties and Space Administration, Washington, D. C. 20546
 1 ATTN: Mr. B. G. Achhammer
           Mr. G. C. Deutsch - Code RW
    National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Marshall Space Flight
    Center, Huntsville, Alabama 35812
 1 ATTN: R. J. Schwinghammer, EHO1, Dir, M&P Lab
           Mr. W. A. Wilson, EH41, Bldg. 4612
    Chief of Naval Operations, Washington, D.C. 20350
 1 ATTN: OP-987, Director
    Aeronautical Systems Division (AFSC), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,
    Ohio 45133
 1 ATTN: ASD/ENFEF, D. C. Wight
           ASD/ENFTV, D. J. Wallick
 1
           ASD/XRHD, G. B. Bennett
```

Air Force Armament Laboratory, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida 32542 1 ATTN: AFATL/DLYA, V. D. Thornton

Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

1 ATTN: AFFDL/FES, G. W. Ducker 1 AFFDL/FES, J. Hodges

1 AFFDL/TST, Library

Air Force Test and Evaluation Center, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico 87115

1 ATTN: AFTEC-JT

Armament Development and Test Center, Eglin Air Force Base, Flordia 32542

1 ATTN: ADTC/TS

NASA - Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 223-6, Moffett Field, California 94035

1 ATTN: SC, J. Parker

NASA - Ames Research Center, Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Mail Stop 207-5, Moffett Field, California 94035

1 ATTN: SAVDL-AS-X, F. H. Immen

NASA - Johnson Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas 77058

1 ATTN: JM6

1 ES-5

Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974

1 ATTN: Code 063

Naval Air System Command, Department of the Navy, Washington, D.C. 20360

1 ATTN: AIR-03PAF 1 AIR-5203 1 AIR-5204J 1 AIR-530313

Naval Material Command, Washington, D.C. 20360

1 ATTN: MAT-0331

Naval Post Graduate School, Monterey, California 93948

1 ATTN: Code 57BP, R. E. Ball

Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren Laboratory, Dahlgren, Virginia 22448

ATTN: DG-34, N. Rupert

l Library

Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California 93555

1 ATTN: Code 40701 1 Code 408

Commander, Rock Island Arsenal, Rock Island, Illinois 61299

1 ATTN: DRSAR-PPV

Armament Systems, Inc., 712-F North Valley, Anaheim, California 92801 ATTN: J. Musch

Beech Aircraft Corporation, 9709 E. Central Avenue, Wichita, Kansas 67206 1 ATTN: Engineering Library

Bell Helicopter Company, A Textron Company, P.O. Box 482, Fort Worth Texas 76101

1 ATTN: J. R. Johnson

Boeing Vertol Company, A Division of the Boeing Company, P.O. Box 16858, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19142

1 ATTN: J. E. Gonsalves, M/S P32-19

Calspan Corporation, P.O. Box 235, Buffalo, New York 14221

1 ATIN: Library

Cessna Aircraft Company, Wallace Division, P.O. Box 1977, Wichita, Kansas 67201

1 ATTN: B. B. Overfield

Fairchild Industries, Inc., Fairchild Republic Company, Conklin Street, Farmingdale, Long Island, New York 11735

1 ATTN: Engineering Library, G. A. Mauter

Falcon Research and Development Company, 601 San Pedro, N.E., Suite 205, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108

1 ATTN: W. L. Baker

General Dynamics Corporation, Convair Division, P.O. Box 80877, San Diego, California 92138

1 ATTN: Research Library, U. J. Sweeney

General Research Corporation, Science and Technology Division, 5383 Hollister Avenue, P.O. Box 3587, Santa Barbara, California 93105

1 ATTN: R. Rodman

Gruman Aerospace Corporation, South Oyster Bay Road, Bethpage, New York 11714 1 ATTN: Technical Information Center, J. Davis

Hughes Helicopters, A Division of Summa Corporation, Centinela & Teale Street, Culver City, California 90230

1 ATTN: Library, 2/T2124, D. K. Goss

1 Mr. L. Soffa

1 Mr. A. Edwards

Mr. W. Jarrett

IIT Research Institute, 10 West 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60616 1 ATTN: K. McKee 1

Kaman Aerospace Corporation, Old Winsor Road, Bloomfield, Connecticut 06002 1 ATTN: H. E. Showalter

Lockheed-California Company, A Division of Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, Burbank, California 91503

1 ATTN: Technological Information Center, 84-40, U-35, A-1

LTV Aerospace Corporation, Vought Systems Division, P.O. Box 5907, Dallas, Texas 75222

1 ATTN: J. A. Fouse

Martin Marietta Corporation, Orlando Division, P.O. Box 5837, Orlando, Florida 32805

1 ATTN: Library, M. C. Griffith

McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846

1 ATTN: Technical Library, Cl 290/36-84

Northrop Corporation, Aircraft Division, 3901 W. Broadway, Hawthorne, California 90250

1 ATTN: Mgr. Library Services, H. W. Jones

Parker Hannifin Corporation, Bertea Control Systems Division, 18001 Von Karman Avenue, Irvine, California 92715

1 ATTN: C. Beneker

Rockwell International Corporation, Los Angeles Aircraft Division, B-1 Division, International Airport, Los Angeles, California 90009

1 ATTN: W. L. Jackson

Sikorsky Aircraft, A Division of United Aircraft Corporation, Main Street, Stratford, Connecticut 06602

1 ATTN: J. B. Faulk

l W. G. Dignam

Teledyne CAE, 1330 Laskey Road, Toledo, Ohio 43697 1 ATTN: Librarian, M. Dowdell

The Boeing Company, Wichita Division, 3801 S. Oliver Street, Wichita, Kansas 67210

1 ATTN: Library

Director, Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

2 ATTN: DRXMR-PL

1 DRXMR-PR

1 DRXMR-PD

1 DRXMR-AP

DRXMR-EM, Mr. A. Anctil